

From: William Potts
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/19/02 3:43pm
Subject: Re: Web page

Good day,

I am rather amazed at our regulatory institution, in there historically infinite wisdom, to conclude that microsoft is a monopoly in the highest order. There were no questions in the break up of AT&T and going back to the rampage of the steel giants at the turn of the century. How can anyone legitimately think that microsoft is not maliciously stifling competition? They have already been proven to manipulate open protocols to there own needs. (tcp/ms for one and corrupted html code, msn/opera)

MS has and is filling a need in the consumer market. They do have a reasonably simple product to use. Not as user friendly as Mac, but runs on much cheaper hardware. It is also much simpler than Linux. What is the problem with microsoft being forced to conform to internationally established protocols? And how can anyone at ms actually keep a straight face when speaking of priracy? (simple search on anything ms will suffice)

I know the chances of someone reading this message is slim to none. I also know that if it is read that it's probably irrelevant. Thanks for the opportunity anyway.

Sincerely,

William Potts